



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/497,836 | 02/03/2000 | Victor S. Moore | BC9-99-044 | 7966 |

23334 7590 12/20/2005

FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS, GUTMAN, BONGINI
& BIANCO P.L.
ONE BOCA COMMERCE CENTER
551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111
BOCA RATON, FL 33487

EXAMINER

NEURAUTER, GEORGE C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2143

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/497,836 | | Applicant(s) MOORE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner George C. Neurauter, Jr. | | Art Unit 2143 | |
| | Status of Application: <u>Reply to Non-Final Rejection filed and forwarded to Examiner</u> | | | |

All Participants:

(1) George C. Neurauter, Jr.

(2) Jeffery Giunta (Reg. No. 42,583)

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 December 2005 **Time:** 3:30 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
103 rejection

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
Welles, Yao


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The current prior art rejection, the claims, and the general nature of the invention were discussed since the Examiner has been recently assigned to the case.